

# Spin structures and Killing spinors on lens spaces

A. FRANC\*

c/o Departement de Mathematiques  
Campus Plaine c.p. 218  
B - 1050 Bruxelles

**Abstract.** *We determine the values of  $m$  and  $p$  for which a lens space  $\mathbb{Z}_p \backslash S^{2m-1}$  admits a spin structure.*

*We prove that the only lens spaces (with dimension  $> 3$ ) admitting a maximal number of linearly independent Killing spinors are the real projective spaces  $\mathbb{P}^{4k-1}(\mathbb{R})$ .*

## INTRODUCTION

A lens space is the quotient of the sphere  $S^{2m-1}$  ( $m \geq 2$ ) by a particular action of the group  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . It is known that there exists a unique spin structure on  $S^{2m-1}$  [5]. On the real projective space  $\mathbb{P}^{2m-1}(\mathbb{R})$ , which is the lens space corresponding to  $p = 2$ , there exist two inequivalent spin structures when  $m$  is even and no spin structure when  $m$  is odd [5]. On the lens spaces associated to  $S^5$ , there exists one and only one spin structure if  $p$  is odd and none if  $p$  is even [8].

In this paper, we determine the values of  $m$  and  $p$  for which a lens space admits a spin structure (Theorem 1).

It was observed in [2] that the spin bundles on  $S^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) are trivial by

---

*Key Words: Spin structures, Killing spinors, Lens Spaces.*  
*A.S.C.: 57 R 15.*

---

\* Aspirant au Fonds National Belge de la Recherche Scientifique.

constructing  $2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  linearly independent non-zero sections which are Killing spinors. The same argument was used in [4] to prove triviality of the spin bundle on  $P^{4k-1}(\mathbb{R})$  ( $k \geq 1$ ). We prove that a lens space of dimension  $2m - 1$  ( $m > 2$ ) admits  $2^{m-1}$  linearly independent Killing spinors if and only if  $m = 2k$  and the lens space is the projective space  $P^{4k-1}(\mathbb{R})$ . (Theorem 2).

The paper is organized as follows:

In § 1, we recall the basic notions of spin structure, spinors and Killing spinors. § 2 is devoted to the study of existence and to the construction of spin structures on lens spaces. In § 3, we compute the conditions for a Killing spinor on the sphere to give rise to a Killing spinor on a lens space.

### 1. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS (for details, see [1] and [2])

Let  $C_n$  be the Clifford algebra of the real euclidean space of dimension  $n$  :  $C_n = \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{R}^n)/I$  where  $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the tensor algebra of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $I$  is the ideal generated by  $x \otimes y + y \otimes x + 2 \langle x, y \rangle \text{Id}$ . ( $\langle x, y \rangle$  is the usual scalar product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).

$C_n^+$  (resp.  $C_n^-$ ) is the image in  $C_n$  of the tensors of even (resp. odd) degree.

If  $n$  is even,  $n = 2m$ , the complexification  $C_{2m}^{\mathbb{C}}$  of  $C_{2m}$  is isomorphic to the algebra of all linear endomorphisms of the exterior algebra  $\Lambda W$  of an isotropic subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . This isomorphism can be constructed as follows:

Let  $\{e_a, a = 1, \dots, 2m\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ .

Let  $W$  be the space generated by  $\{f_k = e_{2k-1} + ie_{2k}, 1 \leq k \leq m\}$

Define

$$\tilde{\rho}^{2m} : \mathbb{C}^m (\subset C_{2m}^{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\Lambda W) \quad \text{by}$$

$$\tilde{\rho}^{2m}(e_{2k-1}) \cdot \alpha = f_k \wedge \alpha - i(f_k^*)\alpha$$

$$\tilde{\rho}^{2m}(e_{2k}) \cdot \alpha = -\sqrt{-1}(f_k \wedge \alpha + i(f_k^*)\alpha) \quad \alpha \in \Lambda W$$

where  $i(f_k^*)$  is the inner product by  $f_k^*$ .

This linear map extends to an isomorphism of  $C_{2m}^{\mathbb{C}}$  onto  $\text{End}(\Lambda W)$ .

We shall choose as basis of  $\Lambda W$  :

$$\{1, f_I, f_J; f_I = f_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{i_r} \left( 1 \leq r \leq \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor, 1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{2r} \leq m \right),$$

$$f_J = f_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{j_{2r+1}} \left( 0 \leq r \leq \left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor, 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{2r+1} \leq m \right)$$

The  $\gamma$  matrices are the matrices of  $\gamma_k = \tilde{\rho}^{2m}(e_k)$  in this basis. One has the relations:

$$\gamma_k \gamma_1 + \gamma_1 \gamma_k = -2\delta_{k1} Id.$$

The space  $S_{2m} = \Lambda W$  is called the *space of spinors* and has complex dimension  $2^m$ . It decomposes as  $S_{2m} = S_{2m}^+ \oplus S_{2m}^-$  where  $S_{2m}^+$  (resp.  $S_{2m}^-$ ) is the space of even (resp. odd) forms on  $W$ . This decomposition is preserved by  $C^+$ , i.e.  $C^+ S^\pm \subset S^\pm$  ( $\star$ ).

If  $n$  is odd,  $n = 2m - 1$ ,  $C_{2m-1}$  is isomorphic to  $C_{2m}^+$  and the isomorphism is constructed as follows:

Let  $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^{2m-1}(\subset C_{2m-1}) \rightarrow C_{2m}^+ : e_i \rightarrow e'_i e'_{2m}$  where  $\{e_i, i \leq 2m - 1\}$  (resp.  $\{e'_j, j \leq 2m\}$ ) is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{2m-1}$  (resp.  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ ). This extends to an isomorphism of  $C_{2m-1}$  onto  $C_{2m}^+$ .

Using this isomorphism and ( $\star$ ) one sees that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{2m-1}^{\mathbb{C}} &\sim \text{End}(S_{2m}^+) \oplus \text{End}(S_{2m}^-) \\ &\equiv \text{End}(S_{2m-1}) \oplus \text{End}(S_{2m-1}'). \end{aligned}$$

The space  $S_{2m}^+ = S_{2m-1}$  is called the space of spinors.

The representation of the Clifford algebra  $C_{2m-1}$  on  $S_{2m-1}$ , defined on the generators  $e_a$  ( $a \leq 2m - 1$ ) by

$$\tilde{\rho}^{2m-1}(e_a) = \tilde{\rho}^{2m}(\alpha(e_a))|_{S_{2m-1}} = \tilde{\rho}^{2m}(e'_a e'_{2m})|_{S_{2m-1}}$$

is irreducible. The  $\gamma$  matrices read  $\gamma_k = \tilde{\rho}^{2m-1}(e_k) = \gamma_k \gamma_{2m}|_{S_{2m}^+}$

The *Spin group*,  $\text{Spin}(n)$ , is the set of elements  $x$  in  $C_n^+$  such that  $xyx^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^n(\subset C_n)$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x^\tau x = 1$  where  $\tau$  is the unique antiautomorphism of  $C_n$  extending  $Id|_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ . The fundamental representation of  $\text{Spin}(n)$  on  $S_n$ ,  $\tilde{\rho}^n|_{\text{Spin}(n)}$ , is called the *spin representation*.

If  $n \geq 3$ , the Spin group  $\text{Spin}(n)$  is the universal covering of  $SO(n)$ . The covering homomorphism is  $\theta : \text{Spin}(n) \rightarrow SO(n)$ :

$$x \rightarrow [y \rightarrow xyx^{-1}].$$

Its differential is an isomorphism of Lie algebras  $\theta_\star : \text{spin}(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(n)$ .

If  $E_{ab}$  denotes the  $n \times n$  matrix with 1 at the intersection of the  $a^{\text{th}}$  row and  $b^{\text{th}}$  column and 0 elsewhere, an element  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  reads  $\Lambda = \sum_{a,b} \Lambda^{ab} E_{ab}$  with  $\Lambda^{ab} = -\Lambda^{ba}$ , and  $\theta_\star^{-1}(\Lambda^{ab} E_{ab}) = -\frac{1}{4} \Lambda^{ab} e_a e_b$ .

Let  $(M, g)$  be an oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$  and let  $B \xrightarrow{p} M$

be the bundle of oriented orthonormal frames on  $M$ , a principal bundle over  $M$  with structure group  $SO(n)$ . One says that  $(M, g)$  admits a *spin structure* (or is a

*spin manifold*) if one can find a principal bundle  $\tilde{B} \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} M$  over  $M$  with structure group  $\text{Spin}(n)$  and a homomorphism  $\varphi : \tilde{B} \rightarrow B$  such that

(i) the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{B} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B \\ \tilde{p} \searrow & & \swarrow p \\ & M & \end{array}$$

(ii)  $\varphi(\xi g) = \varphi(\xi)\theta(g)$  for all  $\xi \in \tilde{B}, g \in \text{Spin}(n)$ , where  $\theta : \text{Spin}(n) \rightarrow SO(n)$  is the covering homomorphism.

**PROPOSITION 1.** ([6]):  *$(M, g)$  admits a spin structure iff the second Stiefel-Whitney class of the tangent bundle of  $M$  vanishes. In this case, the number of inequivalent spin structures on  $M$  is equal to  $\# H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .* ■

If  $M$  is a spin manifold, the associated vector bundle  $\mathcal{S} = \tilde{B} \times_{\tilde{\rho}}^n S_n$  is called the *spin bundle* and its sections are the *spinor fields*.

To each spinor field  $\psi$ , one can associate a function  $\tilde{\psi} : \tilde{B} \rightarrow S_n$  such that

$$\tilde{\psi}(\xi g) = \tilde{\rho}(g^{-1})\tilde{\psi}(\xi) \quad \forall g \in \text{Spin}(n), \xi \in \tilde{B}.$$

Let  $\tilde{\omega}$  be the pull-back connection on  $\tilde{B}$  of the Levi Civita connection  $\omega$  on  $B : \tilde{\omega} = \theta_*^{-1}(\varphi^* \omega)$ .

The *covariant derivative*  $\nabla \psi$  of a spinor field  $\psi$  is defined in the following way: if  $X$  is a vector field on  $M$ ,  $\nabla_X \psi$  is the spinor field whose associated function on  $\tilde{B}$  is  $\widetilde{\nabla_X \psi} = \bar{X} \tilde{\psi}$  where  $\bar{X}$  is the horizontal lift of  $X$  on  $\tilde{B}$  with respect to  $\tilde{\omega}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E} = T^*M \otimes \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}^* = \tilde{B} \times_{\tilde{\rho}} (\mathbb{R}^{n*} \otimes S_n \otimes S_n^*)$  where  $\tilde{\rho} = (\tilde{\rho} \circ \theta) \otimes \tilde{\rho} \otimes \tilde{\rho}^*$  (here  $\tilde{\rho}$  is the usual representation of  $SO(n)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\tilde{\rho}^*$  is the contragredient representation of  $\tilde{\rho}$ ).

The *element*  $\gamma$  is the section of  $\mathcal{E}$  whose associated function is the constant  $\tilde{\gamma} : \tilde{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n*} \otimes S_n \otimes S_n^* : \tilde{\gamma}(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^n e_k^* \otimes \tilde{\gamma}_k$  where  $\{e_k^*\}$  is the dual basis of the basis  $\{e_k\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n, \tilde{\gamma}_k = \tilde{\rho}(e_k)$  and  $S_n \otimes S_n^*$  is identified with  $\text{End}(S_n)$ .

A Killing spinor on  $(M, g)$  is a spinor field  $\psi$  such that  $\nabla\psi = \lambda\gamma\psi$  where  $\lambda$  is a constant. Equivalently, it is a function  $\tilde{\psi} : \tilde{B} \rightarrow S_n$  having the following properties:

- (i)  $\tilde{\psi}(\xi g) = \tilde{\rho}(g^{-1})\tilde{\psi}(\xi) \quad \forall \xi \in \tilde{B}, g \in \text{Spin}(n)$
- (ii)  $(\bar{X}\tilde{\psi})(\xi) = \lambda \sum_{k=1}^n X^k(\xi)\gamma_k\tilde{\psi}(\xi) \quad \forall X$  vector field on  $M$  where  $X^k(\xi)$

$(k \leq n)$  are the components of  $X$  in the orthonormal frame  $\varphi(\xi)$ .

A spin manifold admitting a non zero Killing spinor is an Einstein manifold. The constant  $\lambda$  is related to its scalar curvature  $R$  by the formula: [2]

$$R = 4n(n - 1)\lambda^2$$

## 2. EXISTENCE OF SPIN STRUCTURES ON LENS SPACES

Consider  $S^{2m-1}(m \geq 2)$  as the unit sphere of  $\mathbb{C}^m : S^{2m-1} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m : \sum_{i=1}^m z_i \bar{z}_i = 1\}$ , and let  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  be realized as the subgroup of  $U(m)$  (also contained in  $SO(2m)$ ):

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i q_1 k}{p}\right) & & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \\ & & & \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i q_m k}{p}\right) \end{pmatrix}, 0 \leq k \leq p - 1 \right\}$$

where  $q_j(1 \leq j \leq m)$  is an integer,  $0 < q_j < p$  and  $q_j$  is prime to  $p$ .

The lens space  $L(p; q_1, \dots, q_m)$  of dimension  $2m - 1$  with parameters  $p, q_1, \dots, q_m$  is the quotient space  $\mathbb{Z}_p \backslash S^{2m-1}$ .

*Remark.* One can take without loss of generality one of the  $q_j$ 's equal to 1. In what follows, we shall suppose  $q_1 = 1$ .

*Example.* The real odd dimensional projective spaces  $\mathbb{P}^{2m-1}(\mathbb{R})$  are lens spaces with parameters  $(2; 1, \dots, 1)$ .

The unique spin structure on  $S^{2m-1}$  can be viewed as the principal bundle  $\text{Spin}(2m)$  on  $S^{2m-1}$  with the homomorphism  $\theta : \text{Spin}(2m) \rightarrow SO(2m)$  [4]. In fact,  $SO(2m)$  is naturally identified with the principal bundle of orthonormal oriented frames on  $S^{2m-1}$ : if  $e_a(a \leq 2m)$  is orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$  and if  $A$

is the matrix of an element of  $SO(2m)$  in this basis, this element is identified with the orthonormal frame  $\{Ae_i, i < 2m\}$  at the point  $Ae_{2m}$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a spin manifold of dimension  $n$ , and let  $(\hat{M}, \hat{g}, p)$  be a Riemannian covering of  $(M, g)$ . Then  $(\hat{M}, \hat{g})$  is a spin manifold. Moreover, if the covering is a Galois covering with automorphism group  $G$  and if  $\tilde{B} \xrightarrow{\varphi} B$  (resp.  $\tilde{\hat{B}} \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}} \hat{B}$ ) is the spin structure on  $(M, g)$  (resp.  $(\hat{M}, \hat{g})$ ), then  $\hat{B}$  (resp.  $\tilde{\hat{B}}$ ) is a Galois covering of  $B$  (resp.  $\tilde{B}$ ) with automorphism group  $G$ .*

*Proof.* The manifold  $(\hat{M}, \hat{g})$  is naturally oriented.

There exists an open cover  $U_\alpha (\alpha \in A)$  of  $M$  which trivializes  $B, \tilde{B}$  and  $\hat{M}$ . The cocycles  $g_{\beta\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{g}_{\beta\alpha}$  of  $\hat{B}$  and  $\tilde{\hat{B}}$  are such that  $\theta(\tilde{g}_{\beta\alpha}(x)) = g_{\beta\alpha}(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $U_\beta \cap U_\alpha$ .

$$\text{Let } p^{-1}(U_\alpha) = \coprod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} U_{\alpha,a}.$$

The cocycles of  $\hat{B}$  and  $\tilde{\hat{B}}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}_{\beta_b \alpha_a}(y) &= g_{\beta\alpha}(p(y)) \\ \tilde{\hat{g}}_{\beta_b \alpha_a}(y) &= \tilde{g}_{\beta\alpha}(p(y)) \quad \forall y \in U_{\beta,b} \cap U_{\alpha,a}, \end{aligned}$$

$a$  and  $b$  being such that the intersection is not empty.

We still have  $\theta(\tilde{\hat{g}}_{\beta_b \alpha_a}(y)) = \hat{g}_{\beta_b \alpha_a}(y) \quad \forall y \in U_{\beta,b} \cap U_{\alpha,a}$  and thus we have a spin structure on  $\hat{M}$ .

For the second part of the proposition, the hypothesis implies that  $\hat{M}$  is a principal bundle over  $M$  with  $G$  as structure group.

$$\text{So } \hat{M} = \coprod_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha \times G / \sim$$

where  $[x_\alpha, a] \sim [x_\beta, b]$  iff  $x_\alpha = x_\beta$  and  $b = a \cdot c_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha)$

with  $c_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha) \in G$ .

From the preceding construction of  $\hat{B}$ , one then has:

$$\hat{B} = \coprod_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha \times G \times SO(n) / \sim$$

where  $[x_\alpha, a, A] \sim [x_\beta, b, B]$  iff  $x_\alpha = x_\beta, b = a \cdot c_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha)$

and  $B = g_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha)A$ .

So  $G$  acts on  $\hat{B}$  by left multiplication on the  $2^{nd}$  factor, and this action commutes with the action of  $SO(n)$ .

A similar argument applied to  $\tilde{\hat{B}}$  concludes the proof of the proposition. Q.E.D. ■



Let  $\theta^{-1}(A)^+$  and  $\theta^{-1}(A)^-$  be these two elements.

In the spin representation  $\tilde{\rho} |_{\text{Spin}(2m)}$ , the element  $e_{2j-1}e_{2j}$  is written in the basis  $\{1(=f_\phi), f_I = f_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{i_{2r}}, 1 \leq r \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor, 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{2r} \leq m\}$  of  $S_{2m}^+$  as a diagonal matrix with entries:

$$\tilde{\rho}(e_{2j-1}e_{2j})_{(I,I)} = (\gamma_{2j-1}\gamma_{2j})_{S_{2m}^+}|_{(I,I)} = -ie_j^I$$

where 
$$e_j^I = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } j \in I = \{i_1, \dots, i_{2r}\} \\ -1 & \text{if } j \notin I = \{i_1, \dots, i_{2r}\} \end{cases}$$

The matrix of  $\theta^{-1}(A)^\pm$  in this basis is thus also diagonal with entries:  $(\theta^{-1}(A)^\pm)_{(I,I)} = \pm \exp\left(-\frac{in}{p}(\epsilon_1^I q_1 + \epsilon_2^I q_2 + \dots + \epsilon_m^I q_m)\right)$ .

It is of order  $p$  iff  $(\pm)^p (-1)^{q_1 + \dots + q_m} = 1$ .

If  $p$  is even, the condition is that  $q_1 + \dots + q_m$  must be even.

But all the  $q_j$ 's are prime to  $p$ , so they are all odd and the condition is satisfied iff  $m$  is even.

Note that in this case, the two matrices  $\theta^{-1}(A)^+$  and  $\theta^{-1}(A)^-$  are of order  $p$ .

If  $p$  is odd,  $\theta^{-1}(A)^+$  is of order  $p$  iff  $q_1 + \dots + q_m$  is even, and  $\theta^{-1}(A)^-$  is of order  $p$  iff  $q_1 + \dots + q_m$  is odd.

In this case, one and only one of the 2 matrices is of order  $p$ , and thus there is one and only one spin structure.

When  $p$  and  $m$  are even, the two spin structures given by  $\theta^{-1}(A)^+$  and  $\theta^{-1}(A)^-$  are inequivalent. In fact, the universal coefficients theorem ([7]) implies that  $\#H^1(L(p; q_1, \dots, q_m), \mathbb{Z}_2) = 2$  when  $p$  is even.

So, applying proposition 1, if there exist spin structures, there are exactly 2 inequivalent ones. The result follows from the fact that all the spin structures on  $L(p; q_1, \dots, q_m)$  are provided by the quotient of  $\text{Spin}(2m)$  by  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  where the generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  acts by left multiplication by one of the two matrices  $\theta^{-1}(A)^+$  and  $\theta^{-1}(A)^-$ . Q.E.D. ■

Applying this result with  $p = 2$ :

**COROLLARY.** For all  $k$  integer  $\geq 1$ ,  $\mathbb{IP}^{4k+1}(\mathbb{R})$  does not admit a spin structure and  $\mathbb{IP}^{4k-1}(\mathbb{R})$  admits two inequivalent spin structures. ■

### 3. KILLING SPINORS ON LENS SPACES

**PROPOSITION 3.** ([2] - [4]). On the sphere  $S^{2m-1}(m \geq 2)$ , a Killing spinor is defined by a function



$$\tilde{\psi}^\epsilon (\epsilon = \pm 1) : \text{Spin}(2m) \rightarrow S_{2m-1} = S_{2m}^+$$

given by  $\tilde{\psi}^\epsilon(g) = \tau^\epsilon(g^{-1})\psi_\epsilon^\epsilon$ , where  $\psi_\epsilon^\epsilon$  is any element of  $S_{2m-1}$  and  $\tau^\epsilon$  is the representation of  $\text{Spin}(2m)$  on  $S_{2m-1}$  whose differential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\star^\epsilon \left( \sum_{a,b \leq 2m} \Lambda^{ab} e_a e_b \right) &= \sum_{i,j \leq 2m-1} \Lambda^{ij} \gamma_i^{2m-1} \gamma_j^{2m-1} \\ &+ 2\epsilon \sum_{i \leq 2m-1} \Lambda^{i2m} \gamma_i^{2m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It satisfies:  $\nabla \psi^\epsilon = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \gamma \psi^\epsilon$ . ■

Proposition 2 implies that a Killing spinor on a lens space  $L(p; q_1, \dots, q_m)$  (which is a spin manifold) admits a lift to  $S^{2m-1}$  which is a Killing spinor stable by the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

The Killing spinor  $\psi^\epsilon$  defined by  $\tilde{\psi}^\epsilon(g) = \tau^\epsilon(g^{-1})\psi_\epsilon^\epsilon$  on  $S^{2m-1}$  gives rise to a Killing spinor on  $L(p; q_1, \dots, q_m)$  iff

$$\tau^\epsilon(\theta^{-1}(A)^\pm)\psi_\epsilon^\epsilon = \psi_\epsilon^\epsilon,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^\epsilon(\theta^{-1}(A)^\pm) &= \tau^\epsilon \left( \pm \exp \frac{\pi}{p} (q_1 e_1 e_2 + \dots + q_m e_{2m-1} e_{2m}) \right) \\ &= \pm \exp \frac{\pi}{p} (q_1 \gamma_1^{2m-1} \gamma_2^{2m-1} + \dots + q_{m-1} \gamma_{2m-3}^{2m-1} \gamma_{2m-2}^{2m-1} + \epsilon q_m \gamma_{2m-1}^{2m-1}). \end{aligned}$$

In the basis  $\{1, f_I\}$  of  $S_{2m-1} = S_{2m}^+$ , the matrix of  $\tau^\epsilon(\theta^{-1}(A)^\pm)$  is diagonal with entries:

$$(\tau^\epsilon(\theta^{-1}(A)^\pm))_{(I,I)} = \pm \exp \frac{-i\pi}{p} (\epsilon_1^I q_1 + \dots + \epsilon_{m-1}^I q_{m-1} + \epsilon \cdot \epsilon_m^I q_m)$$

So the equations read:

$$\pm \exp \frac{-i\pi}{p} (\epsilon_1^I q_1 + \dots + \epsilon \epsilon_m^I q_m) (\psi_\epsilon^\epsilon)^I = (\psi_\epsilon^\epsilon)^I$$

where  $(\psi_\epsilon^\epsilon)^I$  are the components of  $\psi_\epsilon^\epsilon$  in this basis.

There exists a maximal number ( $= 2^{m-1}$ ) of linearly independent Killing spinors iff these equations are simultaneously satisfied for all sets  $I$  of an even number of indices.

This is equivalent to

a)  $\epsilon_1^I q_1 + \dots + \epsilon_m^I q_m = 2k_I p \quad \forall I(k_I \in \mathbb{Z})$  if the spin structure is given by  $\theta^{-1}(A)^+$

b)  $\epsilon_1^I q_1 + \dots + \epsilon_m^I q_m = (2k_I + 1)p \quad \forall I(k_I \in \mathbb{Z})$  if the spin structures is given by  $\theta^{-1}(A)^-$ .

The cases a) and b) can be treated in the same way:

Suppose  $m > 2$ .

By taking  $I = \{1, m\}$  and  $I = \{2, m\}$  and subtracting the 2 equations, we get that  $q_1 - q_2$  is a multiple of  $p$ . But  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are positive integers  $< p$  and prime to  $p$ ; so  $q_1 - q_2 = 0$  and  $q_1 = q_2 = 1$  because we may suppose  $q_1 = 1$ .

(A similar argument proves that  $\forall i, j < m : q_i = q_j = 1$ ).

By taking  $I = \emptyset$  and  $I = \{1, 2\}$  and subtracting the 2 equations, we obtain that 2 is a multiple of  $p$ , so  $p = 2$  and all the  $q_j$ 's (including  $q_m$ ) are equals to 1.

Note that in this case  $m$  is even:  $m = 2k$ .

So this leads necessarily to the case of the real projective space  $\mathbb{IP}^{4k-1}(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, the value of  $\epsilon$  is determined by the spin structure and the parity of  $k$ : in the case a) :  $\epsilon = (-1)^k$ , and in the case b):  $\epsilon = (-1)^{k+1}$ .

So we have proved:

**THEOREM 2.** *The only lens spaces  $L(p; q_1, \dots, q_m)$  with  $m > 2$  admitting  $2^{m-1}$  linearly independent Killing spinors are such that  $m = 2k$  and are the real projective spaces  $\mathbb{IP}^{4k-1}(\mathbb{R})$  ( $k > 1$ ).*

Let  $\tilde{B}^{(\pm)}$  denote the two spin structures on  $\mathbb{IP}^{4k-1}(\mathbb{R})$ .

A Killing spinor on  $\mathbb{IP}^{4k-1}(\mathbb{R})$  with spin structure  $\tilde{B}^{(\pm)}$  is determined by a Killing spinor  $\psi^\epsilon$  on the sphere  $S^{4k-1}$  which satisfies  $\tilde{\psi}^\epsilon(g) = \tilde{\psi}^\epsilon(\theta^{-1}(A)^{(\pm)}g) \quad \forall g \in \text{Spin}(4k)$  and for which the value of  $\epsilon$  is determined by the condition  $\epsilon = (\pm)(-1)^k$ . ■

*Remarks.* 1. The second part of the theorem was already proved in [4].

2. The first part of the theorem is false when  $m = 2$ . In this case, there exist two linearly independent Killing spinors on  $L(p; q_1, q_2)$  iff  $q_1 = q_2 = 1$  for the spin structure  $\tilde{B}^+$  and  $q_1 = 1, q_2 = p - 1$  for the spin structure  $\tilde{B}^-$ , without conditions about  $p$ .

### CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have seen that the spin bundle on  $\mathbb{IP}^{4k-1}(\mathbb{R})(k > 1)$  is trivial. The spheres  $S^3$  and  $S^7$  are the only spheres which admit a trivial principal orthonormal frame bundle ([5] th 13.10 p. 225 and th. 8.2. p. 156). One can show that the spin bundle over any 3-dimensional lens space  $L(p; q_1, q_2)$  is trivial. This is not

the case for the 7-dimensional lens spaces as was pointed out to us by P. Gilkey [10]. On the other hand, there exist 3-dimensional lens spaces which don't admit Killing spinors. Hence the Killing spinor argument to prove triviality of the spin bundle has limited validity. We need another method to determine whether 3-dimensional lens spaces are the only trivial examples outside projective spaces.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank M. Cahen, S. Gutt and L. Lemaire for valuable discussions.

I thank P.B. Gilkey for pointing out the fact that the 7-dimensional lens spaces do not admit a trivial spin bundle.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. ATIYAH, R. BOTT, A. SHAPIRO: *Clifford modules*. Topology, Supp. 1 to vol. 3 (1964) 3 - 38.
- [2] M. CAHEN, S. GUTT, L. LEMAIRE, P. SPINDEL: *Killing spinors*, Bull. Soc. Math. de Belgique, t. 38A (1986) 75 - 102.
- [3] L. DABROWSKI, A. TRAUTMAN: *Spinor structures on spheres and projective spaces*, J.M.P. 27 (8) (1986) 2022 - 2028.
- [4] S. GUTT: *Killing spinors on spheres and projective spaces*, To appear in: Proceedings of the conference «Spinors in Physics and Geometry». World Sc. Publ. Co. Singapore.
- [5] D. HUSEMOLLER: *Fibre bundles*, Mc Graw Hill, New York (1966).
- [6] J. MILNOR: *Spin structures on manifolds*, Enseignement math. 9 (1963) p. 198.
- [7] E.H. SPANIER: *Algebraic topology*, Mc Graw Hill, New York (1966).
- [8] S. SULANKE: *Der erste Eigenwert des Dirac-Operators auf  $S^5/\Gamma$* . Math. Nachr. 99 (1980) 259 - 271.
- [9] J.A. WOLF: *Spaces of constant curvature*, Mc Graw Hill, New York (1967).
- [10] P.B. GILKEY: private communication.

*Manuscript received: November 12, 1987.*